Appendix 3

Equality Impact Assessment Form

| - | OROUGH COUNT |
|--|---|
| Directorate: People and Places | Service: Community Services |
| Completed by: John Nelson | Date: 3 rd February 2015 |
| Subject Title: Chapel Gallery access improvements and grant funding | |
| 1. DESCRIPTION | |
| Is a policy or strategy being produced or revised: | *delete as appropriate No |
| Is a service being designed, redesigned or cutback: | No |
| Is a commissioning plan or contract specification being developed: | No |
| Is a budget being set or funding allocated: | No |
| Is a programme or project being planned: | Yes |
| Are recommendations being presented to senior managers and/or Councillors: | Yes |
| Does the activity contribute to meeting our duties under the Equality Act 2010 and Public Sector Equality Duty (Eliminating unlawful discrimination/harassment, advancing equality of opportunity, fostering good relations): | Yes |
| Details of the matter under consideration: | Providing lift access improvements to the Chapel Gallery and agreeing acceptance of grant funding towards the cost of the works. |
| If you answered Yes to any of the above go straight to S If you answered No to all the above please complete Sec | |
| 2. RELEVANCE | |
| Does the work being carried out impact on service users, staff or Councillors (stakeholders): If Yes , provide details of how this impacts on service users, staff or Councillors (stakeholders): If you answered Yes go to Section 3 | *delete as appropriate Yes/No* |
| If you answered No to both Sections 1 and 2 provide details of why there is no impact on these three groups: You do not need to complete the rest of this form. | |
| 3. EVIDENCE COLLECTION | |
| Who does the work being carried out impact on, i.e. who is/are the stakeholder(s)? | Current and future visitors to the Chapel Gallery, event organisers and exhibitors. |
| If the work being carried out relates to a universal service, who needs or uses it most? (Is there any particular group affected more than others)? | The proposed works to install a lift to the front of the building will improve access to the first floor event/exhibition space for those who have difficulty using stairs, e.g. wheelchair uses, visitors with pushchairs or who have difficulty walking and using stairs. |

| Which of the protected characteristics are most relevant | |
|---|---|
| to the work being carried out? | *delete as appropriate |
| Age | Yes |
| Gender | No |
| Disability | Yes |
| Race and Culture | No |
| Sexual Orientation | No |
| Religion or Belief | No |
| Gender Reassignment | No |
| Marriage and Civil Partnership | No |
| Pregnancy and Maternity | No |
| 4. DATA ANALYSIS | |
| In relation to the work being carried out, and the | Universal service. |
| service/function in question, who is actually or currently using the service and why? | |
| What will the impact of the work being carried out be on usage/the stakeholders? | Ability to access first floor event /exhibition space for those who have difficulty using stairs. |
| What are people's views about the services? Are some customers more satisfied than others, and if so what are | N/A |
| the reasons? Can these be affected by the proposals? | |
| What sources of data including consultation results have you used to analyse the impact of the work being carried out on users/stakeholders with protected characteristics? | Consultation with exhibitors and the Arts Council on improving access to event and exhibitions. |
| If any further data/consultation is needed and is to be | None |
| gathered, please specify: | |
| 5. IMPACT OF DECISIONS | |
| In what way will the changes impact on people with | Ability to access first floor event /exhibition |
| particular protected characteristics (either positively or | space and engage in events and activities |
| negatively or in terms of disproportionate impact)? | which would otherwise be difficult to provide on the lower ground floor areas. |
| 6. CONSIDERING THE IMPACT | |
| If there is a negative impact what action can be taken to | Planning considerations on the visual |
| mitigate it? (If it is not possible or desirable to take | impact of the works. Work with planners |
| actions to reduce the impact, explain why this is the case (e.g. legislative or financial drivers etc.). | and conservation officer to agree works. |
| | |
| What actions do you plan to take to address any other issues above? | No actions |
| | If no actions are planned state no actions |
| 7. MONITORING AND REVIEWING | |
| When will this assessment be reviewed and who will review it? | March 2016 John Nelson |